## High-throughput electronic-structure simulations: Where reliability really matters

Michael F. Herbst

Applied and Computational Mathematics, RWTH Aachen University https://michael-herbst.com

05th May 2021





https://michael-herbst.com/talks/2021.05.05\_high\_throughput\_reliability.pdf



### Contents



📵 High-throughput DFT and 🐳 DFTK



#### 2 Molecular systems

- Algebraic-diagrammatic construction methods
- Fast continuum solvation models



### Societal challenges of 21st century

- Renewable energy
- Green chemistry and catalysts
- Drug design
- Transportation
- Data storage and communication
- $\Rightarrow$  Need for novel materials
- ⇒ High-throughput computational screening
- $\Rightarrow$  Common approach: Density-functional theory (DFT)

### Typical scale

- $\bullet$  One DFT calculation:  $\mathcal{O}(\text{hours})$  to  $\mathcal{O}(\text{days})$
- E.g. Open Catalyst Project<sup>1</sup>
  - $\bullet~1.3$  million DFT calculations
  - $\bullet\ > 250$  million DFT energy evaluations
  - Workflow success rate:  $\simeq 50\%^2$
- $\Rightarrow$  Need high degree of automation
- $\Rightarrow$  Reliability needs to be improved!
  - Multidisciplinary research problem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>L. Chanussot et. al. The Open Catalyst 2020 (OC20) Dataset, 2020, arXiv 2010.09990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Z. Ulissi, private communication in ARPAE differentiate group seminar, Dec 2020.

### Density-functional toolkit (DFTK)



- https://dftk.org
- 2 years of development
- Pure julia code
- Supports mathematical developments and scale-up to regime relevant to applications
- Low entrance barrier: Only 6k lines of code!
- Scales to > 800 electrons
- https://docs.dftk.org

#### Walks like Python, talks like Lisp, runs like FORTRAN

- Rich ecosystem (Optimisation, PDEs, stochastic processes, GPUs, Machine-Learning, statistics, linear algebra ...)
- High-level, compiled and hackable
- No two-language problem: Everything stays within julia
- Multiple dispatch:
  - Generic fallbacks, fast code for special cases
  - $\Rightarrow$  First get it to work then get it to work *fast*
  - $\Rightarrow$  Write code once, re-use for many data structures / back ends
- https://michael-herbst.com/learn-julia

# Current research with 😽 DFTK

- ⇒ Vision: Improve high-throughput workflows:
  - Use physics: Reliable black-box SCF algorithms<sup>1</sup>
  - Use maths: Error estimates and automatic error balancing<sup>2</sup>
  - Better algorithms: Numerical analysis of SCF methods<sup>3</sup>
  - $\Rightarrow$  Reliable automatic selection of parameters in DFT workflows
  - International and interdisciplinary user base:
    - RWTH Aachen, ENPC Paris, MIT, CMU, ...
  - Involved in multidisciplinary research projects:
    - ACED-DIFFERENTIATE, EMC2 erc synergy, CESMIX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M. F. Herbst, A. Levitt. J. Phys. Condens. Matter **33**, 085503 (2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>M. F. Herbst, A. Levitt and E. Cancès. Faraday Discuss. 224, 227 (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>E. Cancès, G. Kemlin, A. Levitt. arXiv 2004.09088 (2020).

Molecular systems

# Highlighted **DFTK** projects

#### Error estimates for Kohn-Sham<sup>1</sup>



- A posteriori estimates for non-self-consistent Kohn-Sham
- Estimation of basis error, diagonalisation error, arithmetic error
- Time to publication: 10 weeks



- SCFs for large inhomogeneous materials hard to converge
- LDOS: Black-box and parameter-free mixing scheme
- Same implementation for initial exploration and > 800 electrons

 $^1M$ . F. Herbst, A. Levitt and E. Cancès. Faraday Discuss. **224**, 227 (2020).  $^2M$ . F. Herbst, A. Levitt. J. Phys. Condens. Matter **33**, 085503 (2021).

- Adaptive damping based on quadratic model
- Let the maths choose the damping
- Replace trial-and-error by something more rigorous
- Work in progress ...





# Ongoing 🗳 DFTK projects

- Feshbach-Schur methods for Schrödinger operators (Stamm)
- Towards full *a posteriori* error analysis (Stamm, Dusson, Cancès, Levitt)
- Improved initial guesses
  - Reduced order modelling (Stamm)
  - Machine learning (Viswanathan)

### Outlook

- Combination of adaptive damping an LDOS mixing
- Localised states / semiconductors (Blügel)
- RPA and post-DFT (Friesecke, Thicke)
- Mixed-precision methods on GPU (Edelman)
- Multi-fidelity methods (Marzouk)



### ADC in one slide

- Algebraic-diagrammatic construction (ADC) approach to electronic excitations
- Post-HF: Builds on Møller-Plesset PT ground states
- Intermediate states

$$\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle = \sum_{I} X_{I,n} \left|\tilde{\Psi}_{I}\right\rangle$$

• Hermitian eigenvalue problem

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{X}, \qquad \mathbf{X}^{\dagger}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I},$$

with  ${\bf M}$  ADC matrix and  ${\boldsymbol \Omega}$  excitation energies.

ullet M sparse, so iterative methods employed (Jacobi-Davidson)

High-throughput DFT and 😯 DFTK

Algebraic-diagrammatic construction methods

### Some questions related to ADC

- ADC exists for multiple variants:
  - Core-valence separation (CVS)
  - Spin-flip
  - Frozen-core (FC) / frozen-virtual (FV)
- More specific numerics?
  - LOBPCG, Schur complement, preconditioning?
- Errors of CVS, FC, FV? Can these be undone?
- Interpolating from ADC(n) to ADC(n+1)
- $\Rightarrow$  Difficult to address in previous frameworks
  - Recently developed: *adcc* Python package (https://adc-connect.org)



## Current research with adcc: CVS relaxation<sup>2</sup>

- CVS approximation used to target core excitations
- How large is the error?
- Used <sup>⊅</sup> adcc<sup>1</sup> to develop numerical correction scheme



 $^{1}$ M. F. Herbst, M. Scheurer, T. Fransson *et. al.* Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. **10**, e1462 (2020).

<sup>2</sup>M. F. Herbst and T. Fransson. J. Chem. Phys. **153** 054114 (2020).



Algebraic-diagrammatic construction methods

## Typical 1s excitation (Fluoroethene)



- Error moderate for 1s core excitations
- WIP: 2s, 2p, ... (Error definitely larger!)
- Joint with Thomas Fransson (KTH) and Sokolov group (Ohio)

High-throughput DFT and Street DFTK

Molecular systems

# Continuum solvation models based on domain decomposition<sup>1</sup>



- Linear scaling, recently FMM acceleration
- Dissemination into modular package ddX (Stamm, Lipparini)
- WIP: Integration with Gaussian, Psi4, pyscf, adcc, ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>F. Lipparini, G. Scalmani, L. Lagadère, et. al. J. Chem. Phys. 141 184108 (2014).



## Summary



- Mathematical developments for eigenvalue problems
- Plane-wave Kohn-Sham DFT ground state & response theory
- $\bullet~{\rm Supports}~{\rm LDA}~/~{\rm GGA}>800~{\rm electrons}$
- Broad development platform
- $\Rightarrow$  High-throughput DFT methods
- Molecular systems
  - Error analysis / computational spectroscopy using <sup>⊕</sup>ac
  - $\bullet\,$  linear-scaling continuum solvation with ddX



### Questions?

Dadcc https://adc-connect.org

Solution of the second state of the second sta

julia https://michael-herbst.com/learn-julia

### mfherbst



▶ herbst@acom.rwth-aachen.de



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International Licence.